

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Rox 1450

lexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	i	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,600		12/29/2003	Robert A. Jacobs	5038-353 8674	
20575	7590	08/17/2004	•	EXAMINER	
MARGER 1030 SW M		ON & MCCOLLOI	ANDERSON, MATTHEW D		
PORTLAN					
				2186	
			DATE MAIL ED. 09/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
·		JACOBS, ROBERT A.					
Office Action Summary	10/748,600 Examiner	Art Unit					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Matthew D. Anderson	2186					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ann							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 De	) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 December 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ∑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-25</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16 and 18-21</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>16 and 18-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-25</u> is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>18-25</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/31/03</u> .	6) Other:						
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

1. In response to the amendment filed 12/31/03: claims 16-25 have been canceled.

# Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 18-19 and 22-25 are objected to because of the following informalities: based upon the language of the preamble of each claim (memory/system), it appears that claims 18-19 should depend upon independent claim 16, while claims 22-25 should depend upon independent claim 17. For examination purposes, the claims will be treated as such. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claims 18-21 are objected to because of the following informalities: the preamble of each claim should be changed from "The memory" to "The flash memory" to avoid any possible antecedent confusion. Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Claims 22-25 are objected to because of the following informalities: the preamble of each claim should be changed from "The system" to "The memory system" to avoid any possible antecedent confusion. Appropriate correction is required.

### Double Patenting

5. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467,

Best Available Copy

Application/Control Number: 10/748,600

Page 3

Art Unit: 2186

114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

6. Claims 16 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-5 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,684,288. This is a double patenting rejection.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 17 is allowed.
- 8. Claims 22-25 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objections set forth in this Office Action.
- 9. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art does not teach or suggest the combination of claim elements specifically including an erase and write table accessible by the processor store erase and write time data for the data blocks, wherein the erase and write time data is defined as the duration or amount of time it will take to perform the data storage operation.
- 10. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Best Available Copy

Art Unit: 2186

#### **Conclusion**

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew D. Anderson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5931. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 2nd Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3821. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew D. Anderson

August 11, 2004